1	STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
2	PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
3	
4	July 25, 2017 - 1:30 p.m.
5	Concord, New Hampshire 7 AUG '17 PM4:19
6	DE. DE 17 10F
7	RE: DE 17-105 EVERSOURCE ENERGY:
8	Petition for Approval of the Sale of its Interest in W. F. Wyman
9	Station - Unit 4. (Prehearing conference)
10	
11	PRESENT: Chairman Martin P. Honigberg, Presiding
12	Commissioner Kathryn M. Bailey
13	Sandy Deno, Clerk
14	
15	APPEARANCES: Reptg. Public Service Company of
16	New Hampshire d/b/a Eversource Energy: Robert A. Bersak, Esq.
17	Reptg. Residential Ratepayers:
18	D. Maurice Kreis, Esq., Consumer Adv. Office of Consumer Advocate
19	Reptg. PUC Staff:
20	Alexander F. Speidel, Esq. F. Anne Ross, Esq., General Counsel
21	Thomas C. Frantz, Dir./Electric Div. Leszek Stachow, Asst. Dir./Electric
22	Rich Chagnon, Electric Division
23	Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52

1		
2	INDEX	
3		PAGE NO.
4	STATEMENTS OF PRELIMINARY POSITION BY:	
5	Mr. Bersak	4
6	Mr. Kreis	7
7	Mr. Speidel	8
8		
9	QUESTIONS BY:	
10	Chairman Honigberg	9
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

PROCEEDING

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We're here this afternoon in Docket 17-105, which is Public Service Company of New Hampshire, doing business as Eversource, Petition for Approval of Sale of a Minority Interest in W. F. Wyman Station - Unit 4.

Before we do anything else, let's take appearances.

MR. BERSAK: Good morning,

Commissioners -- or, good afternoon, I should
say. Robert Bersak, Eric Chung, and

Christopher Goulding, here on behalf of Public
Service Company of New Hampshire.

MR. KREIS: Good afternoon, Mr.

Chairman, Commissioner Bailey. I'm D. Maurice

Kreis, the Consumer Advocate, here on behalf of

residential utility customers. The

distinguished gentleman sitting to my left is

not part of the OCA. Sorry, sorry to say.

MR. SPEIDEL: Good afternoon,

Commissioners. Alexander Speidel, representing
the Staff of the Commission. And I have with
me, of the Electric Division, Les Stachow, Rich

{DE 17-105} [Prehearing Conference] {07-25-17}

```
1
         Chagnon, Tom Frantz, and also General Counsel,
         Anne Ross, who is the lead counsel for this
 2
 3
         matter.
 4
                   Thank you.
 5
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
 6
         Before the Parties have an opportunity to state
 7
         their initial positions, is there any
         preliminary business we need to deal with?
 8
9
                         [No verbal response.]
10
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Didn't think so.
11
         No one's aware of any intervenors?
12
                         [No verbal response.]
13
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
14
         Bersak, why don't you go first.
15
                   MR. BERSAK: Thank you.
16
         June 21st, the Company filed a Petition for
17
         approval of our sale of our very small minority
18
         interest in the Wyman 4 Station located in
19
         Yarmouth, Maine.
20
                   As part of the overall generation
21
         divestiture process, you recall in the
22
         Settlement, we're divesting all of our
23
         generating assets, including this ownership
24
         interest in Wyman 4. But this one was broken
```

out in the Settlement, to be dealt with potentially outside of the general auction process, because of the fact that it's a minority ownership, and our ownership share of 3.14 plus percent is so small, the likely universe of bidders was limited to those who are majority or other minority owners at the Station.

As part of the process for divestiture, we engaged with JP Morgan, the Commission's Auction Advisor, as well as Commission Staff. And overtures were made to the minority, other minority owners at Wyman Station, as well as to the majority owner, to have indications of interest to purchase our ownership share.

Only the majority owner, Florida

Power & Light, responded and made an offer.

That offer was evaluated by the Auction

Advisor, JP Morgan, and the recommendation was that the price was as good as we were going to get, and that we should move forward with that sale at the price of \$1 million, with an additional price to be paid for the fuel

inventory on-site as of the day of closing, to be valued at the then market price for that fuel.

Right now, the value of the fuel there, you know, depending upon, again, what fuel, if any, is burned between now and closing, as well as what the price on the market is, it's about another \$675,000.

So, we're looking at the \$1.6-\$1.7 million all-in value for this, which is well above the book value that's included in Mr. Chung's testimony.

We filed, in addition to the approvals that we need from this Commission under RSA 374:30, and the approval we need that this sale is consistent with the Settlement, we need approval from FERC. That filing was also made on June 21st, jointly between us and the buyer. The date for FERC comments, protests, intervention was July 12. And, as here, nobody filed anything. So, we expect an approval from FERC within the next week or so by delegated authority.

So, once we get that and once we get

this, we'll be in a position to close on this transaction.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Kreis.

MR. KREIS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Once upon a time, before delusions overtook me and I became an attorney, I was an up-and-coming young man living on Munjoy Hill, in Portland, Maine. And, when I was living on Munjoy Hill, in Portland, Maine, I would gaze out across Casco Bay, and I would notice a power plant across the Bay, the W. F. Wyman Station - Unit 4. And I would ask myself, "Wouldn't it be just dandy to own a 3.1433 percent ownership interest in Wyman Station?"

But I always assumed that I couldn't come up with the money to acquire such an interest.

And, so, today, we discover that that interest is worth a million bucks, plus whatever the value of the associated fuel inventory is. And, of course, it is in the best interests of residential utility customers of PSNH d/b/a Eversource to assure ourselves that the purchase price is a reasonable one and

{DE 17-105} [Prehearing Conference] {07-25-17}

the current maximum value that will ultimately
flow through to the customers of the utility.

And I am optimistic that, after a little bit of due diligence and verification, we can, in fact, determine that this particular sale of this particular small slice of the PSNH generation portfolio is reasonable and is worthy of Commission approval.

And, so, therefore, I look forward to this afternoon's technical session and thereafter resolving this case by settlement.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Speidel.

MR. SPEIDEL: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. In general terms, Staff is supportive of the proposal by the Company to sell its minority interest in the Wyman plant for the million dollars in consideration. It is a small, but a significant, contribution of offset to any stranded costs that may arise from the divestiture process that is moving forward through the current proceeding. And we look forward to examining some of the details of the technical aspects of this proposal with the company and with the OCA during this

abbreviated expedited proceeding.

We'd like to state for the record that it would appear that, if you extrapolate the roughly 3 percent share in Wyman to the entire 610 megawatts of the plant, the sales price that is imputed for the entire plant, and it's not apples and oranges, and it's not exactly apples to apples, in that we understand that this is a minority interest, and there's less bargaining power on the part of the Company in arranging for a sales price, but it would be roughly \$31-\$32 million, which is quite good for a plant of its age and vintage.

So, we do expect that this is a fair price, and we support the terms on the advice of J.P. Morgan. And we would expect that we will have a recommendation for Commission approval of this transaction.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I know you have a technical session that's going to begin as soon as we're done. And I know that the schedule that we put out there has a hearing on the merits on September 1.

1	Does anyone, as we sit here, see any
2	reason why we won't be able to get to a hearing
3	on the merits as scheduled?
4	MR. BERSAK: Just given the fact that
5	there is no opposition, and everybody seems to
6	be in favor of this deal, is there a need for a
7	subsequent hearing or could it just be done on
8	paper approving the deal, and free up
9	everybody's calendar?
10	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Heck of a
11	question, Mr. Bersak. Maybe the Parties can
12	explore that in the technical session and see
13	if there's a way to get that done.
14	Anything else we want to talk about?
15	[No verbal response.]
16	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
17	Then, we will leave you to your technical
18	session. Thank you all.
19	MR. BERSAK: Thank you.
20	(Whereupon the prehearing
21	conference was adjourned at
22	1:37 p.m., and a technical
23	session was held thereafter.)
24	